

SUGGESTED SOLUTIONS

13304–Strategic Management Accounting

CA Professional (Strategic Level I) Examination JUNE 2013

THE INSTITUTE OF CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS OF SRI LANKA

All Rights Reserved

(a)	CC Divis	CM Division	
	Internal	External	External
Selling price (W1)	1,164	1,600	9,100
Qty to be sold (units)	40,000	<u>35,000</u>	<u>20,000</u>
Sales (Rs. '000)	46,560	56,000	<u>182,000</u>
Sales (Rs. '000)		102,560	182,000
Variable production cost (Rs. '000)		(56,250)	(90,000)
Variable selling & distribution cost (Rs. '000)		(4,550)	(13,000)
Fixed production cost (Rs. '000)		(16,500)	(22,500)
Fixed administration cost (Rs. '000)		<u>(3,000)</u>	<u>(8,000)</u>
Profit (Rs. '000)		22,260	48,500
Capital employed (Rs.'000)		160,000	280,000
ROCE	1	13.91%	17.32%

CC division transfers its output to CM Division at a price below the market price which will also cause CC Division to **underperform at lower ROCE** (below 15%). Only CM Division will be entitled to the incentive scheme due to internal transfer pricing. Therefore the Manager of CC Division has disagreed for the current incentive scheme.

(7 marks)

W1 = Internal transfer price of component A	<u>Rs.</u>
Variable cost per unit	750.00
Fixed production cost	220.00
	970.00
20% mark up	194.00
Selling price	<u>1,164.00</u>

(b)

<u>CC Division</u>	<u>Rs. '000</u>
Capital employed	160,000
15% ROCE (eligibility criteria)	24,000
Current level of profit	22,260
Additional contribution/profit required	1,740
Additional cont/unit from selling to external market	<u>Rs.</u>
	1 (00 00

Selling price/unit to external market	1,600.00
Less: Selling price/unit of internal transfers	(1,164.00)
Less: Selling & distribution cost/unit	<u>(130.00</u>)
Net loss/unit from selling internally	306.00
Addition external sales required Unit	s <u>5,686.27</u>

(3 marks)

(c)

Additional external sales required (units of Component A)		5,686.27
No. of units of motors to be foregone by Division CM (2 Co	omp. each motor)	2,843.14
Per motor contribution (Rs.)		3,950.00
Impact to the profit - decline in contribution (Rs. '000)		11,230.39
Current level of profit (Rs. '000)		48,500.00
New profit (Rs. '000)		<u>37,269.61</u>
Impact to the ROCE - New ROCE		<u>13.3%</u>
(d) (i)		(3 marks)
	Component A	Motor

	Component A	Motor
Variable cost to external market (Divisional)	880.00	5,150.00
Less - Transfer price	-	(2,328.00)
Add - Variable cost of component A (two units)	<u> </u>	<u>1,500.00</u>
Variable cost per unit to the external market (Company)	880.00	4,322.00

	External market		External market		Total
Selling Price	Motor Qty	Contribution (Rs. '000)	Comp. A Qty	Contribution (Rs. '000)	Contribution. (Rs. '000)
9,100	20,000	95,560	35000	25,200	120,760
8,900	24,000	109,872	27000	19,440	129,312
8,700	29,000	126,962	17000	12,240	139,202
8,500	30,000	125,340	15000	10,800	136,140
(/			

	(Rs. '000)
Optimal selling price per Motor	8,700
Quantity of Motors to be sold	29,000
Total Contribution (both divisions)	139,202.00
Less: Fixed Expenses	
Total fixed production cost (both divisions)	(39,000)
Total fixed administration cost (both divisions)	(11,000)
Profit for the company (both divisions)	89,202.00

(8 marks)

Dual Rate Transfer Pricing System29,000 Motors to be sold at 8,700 each	CC Division Rs. '000		CM Division Rs. '000	
-	Internal	External	External	
Selling price (Rs.)	1,470	1,600	8,700	
Qty to be sold (units)	58,000	17,000	29,000	
Turnover	85,260	27,200	252,300	
Total Turnover		112,460	252,300	
Variable production cost		(56,250)	(106,488)	
Variable selling & distribution cost		(2,210)	(18,850)	
Fixed production cost		(16,500)	(22,500)	
Fixed administration cost		(3,000)	(8,000)	
Profit		34,500	96,462	
Capital employed		160,000	280,000	
ROCE		21.56%	34.45%	
Since both divisions get the ROCE above 15%, respective managers will be satisfied.				

(5 marks)

(iii)

- * It confuses the recording and accounting when there are many internal transfers
- * This is considered to be artificial
- * This method dilutes the divisional motivation to compete aggressively
- * Misleading information on the overall performance of the company.
- * Additional work for the reconciliation and setting off the artificial profits in the accounts

(2 marks) (Total 28 marks)

Answer No. 02

(a)	(A) - When standard grade of main raw material is used
	(B) - When cheaper grade of main raw material is used

	Current cost	(A)	(B)	
	(Rs.)	(Rs.)	(Rs.)	
Raw material cost - Main RM	190.00	237.50	200.00	W1
Other RM	60.00	75.00	78.95	W2
Direct labour	100.00	110.00	125.00	W3
Variable overhead	50.00	60.00	60.00	
Total variable cost	400.00	<u>482.50</u>	463.95	

<u>W1 - Working 01</u>		
Per unit material consumption	2.00	Kgs
Old price per Kg. (Rs.)	95.00	
Increased price (25%) (Rs.)	118.75	
Cheaper grade price (80%) (Rs.)	95.00	
Cost of 02 Kgs (Rs.)	190.00	
5% Normal loss (divided by 95%)	200.00	

Or W1 - Working 01	
New standard main RM cost/ unit	237.50
If cheaper grade used before RM loss	<u>190.00</u>
95% good output after 5% loss (190/95%)	<u>200.00</u>

W2- Working 2 - Other RM	
Other materials new cost	75.00
5% Normal Loss. (Divided by 95%)	78.95

W3 - Working 3 - Direct Labour	
Increased direct labour @ 100%	Rs. <u>110.00</u>
88% productivity level (divided by 88%)	Rs. <u>125.00</u>
	·

(4 marks)

(b)

Calculation of existing price

	<u>Per unit</u>
Current Variable Cost	400.00
Fixed Cost	100.00
	500.00
40% profit margin	200.00
Current price	700.00

With the standard main material cost

	Existing price	At Rs. 680	At Rs. 650
	(Rs.)	(Rs.)	(Rs.)
Selling Price	700.00	680.00	650.00
Revised total VC	(482.50)	(482.50)	(482.50)
Contribution	217.50	197.50	167.50
Sellable Qty	30,000	35,000	43,000
Total contribution	6,525,000	6,912,500	7,202,500
Less - Fixed cost	(3,300,000)	(3,300,000)	(3,300,000)
Net profit	3,225,000	3,612,500	3,902,500

With the cheaper grade of main raw material

	Existing price	At Rs. 680	At Rs. 650
	(R s.)	(R s.)	(R s.)
Selling Price	700.00	680.00	650.00
Revised total VC	(463.95)	(463.95)	(463.95)
Contribution	236.05	216.05	186.05
Sellable Qty	30,000	35,000	43,000
Total contribution	7,081,579	7,561,842	8,000,263
Less - Fixed cost	(4,110,000)	(4,110,000)	(4,110,000)
Net profit	2,971,579	3,451,842	3,890,263

Profit is maximised at the selling price of Rs. 650/- with the standard main raw material.

(8 marks)

(c)

	<u>Rs.</u>	
Recommended price	650.00	
Total variable cost per unit if cheaper grade is used	(463.95)	
Contribution/unit	186.05	
Profit at optimal profit per (b) above	3,902,500	
Fixed cost with cheaper grade of main RM	4,110,000	
Minimum contribution required	8,012,500	
By dividing contribution/unit;		
Minimum quantity to be produced	43,066	units

(2 marks)

(d) <u>Calculating of operating leverage</u>

	DMPL		Competitor	
Contribution margin over	80		45	
operating income	<u>(80-20</u>)		<u>(45-10)</u>	
Operating leverage	1.33	times	1.28	times

Or

Change in operating income as % sales/	<u>8/60%</u>		4.5/35%	
Change in sales (Ex. 10% increase in sales)	10%		10%	
	<u>13.33%</u>		12.90%	
	10%		10%	
		1.33 times		1.29 times

- DMPL has a higher operating leverage compared to its competitor which means;
- DMPL can earn more operating income from increasing sales through good marketing than competitor.
- On the other hand DMPL is more vulnerable than competitor, to decline in revenue.

(4 marks) (Total 18 marks)

Answer No. 03

(a) Calculation of Contribution per kg

	X	Y	Z	
Cost of Chemical A	300	300	600	
Cost of Chemical B	120	240	120	
Cost of Chemical C	480	240	240	
Cost of Chemical D	120	120	120	
Other manufacturing costs	220	220	220	
	1,240	1,120	1,300	
Contribution	420	500	320	
Selling Price	<u>1660</u>	1620	<u>1620</u>	

The LP model will be as follows:

 $\label{eq:max:C} \begin{array}{l} \text{Max:} \ C = 420X + 500Y + 320Z \ \text{ subject to following constraints} \\ \text{Material A: } 0.1X + 0.1Y + 0.2Z \leq 1200 \\ \text{Material B: } 0.1X + 0.2Y + 0.1Z \leq 2000 \\ \text{Material C: } 0.2X + 0.1Y + 0.1Z \leq 2200 \\ \end{array}$

(3 marks)

(b)

Variable	Deci	Decision Variables		Slack Variables			Solution
	Х	Y	Ζ	а	b	с	
а	0.1	0.1	0.2	1	0	0	1200
b	0.1	0.2	0.1	0	1	0	2000
с	0.2	0.1	0.1	0	0	1	2200
С	420	500	320	0	0	0	0

A slack variable represents the unused quantity of a resource, availability of which, is limited

(2 marks)

- (c) Interpretation of the final matrix
 - (i) Optimum Solution

Quantity of X	4000 kg
Quantity of Y	8000 kg
Quantity of Z	0 kg
Total Contribution (Rs)	5.68 Mn

(ii) Resource utilization

Chemical A	Fully utilised
Chemical B	Fully utilised
Chemical C	600 kg not utilised

	(iv)	Effect of production of an item not in the optimum mix Z is not in the optimum mix. Production of any unit of Z will cause a loss of Rs. 440 per kg in contribution	
	(v)	Decrease in production of X by 3 units Increase in production of Y by 1 unit Decrease in Contribution by Rs 440 Increase in unutilised quantity of C by 0.4kg	
	(vi)	Impact on the optimum mix if additional 1kg of A is available Increase in production of X by 20 units Decrease of production of Y by 10 units Increase in contribution by Rs 3400 Decrease in unutilised quantity of C by 3kg	
	(vii)	Impact on the optimum mix if additional 1kg of B is available Decrease in production of X by 10 units Increase of production of Y by 10 units Increase in contribution by Rs 800 Increase in unutilized quantity of C by 1kg	
d)	(i)	Impact if additional 100kg of A is available Increase in production of X by 100 x $20 = 2000$ kg Decrease of production of Y by 100 x $10 = 1000$ kg Increase in contribution by 100 x $3400 = \text{Rs} 340,000$	5)
	(ii)	Decrease in production of X by $3 \ge 200 = 600$ kg Increase in production of Y by $1 \ge 200$ kg Decrease in Contribution by Rs $440 \ge 200$ = Rs 88000 Increase in utilized quantity of C by $0.4 \ge 200 = 80$ kg	
	(iii)	The premium on price Rs 1000 is less than the shadow price Therefore the offered price is acceptable However with additional 500kg of A, C will be exhausted Therefore it is recommended to accept only 200kg of A	
	(iv)	The premium on price Rs 2000 is more than the shadow price Therefore the offered price is not acceptable Therefore it is not recommended to accept the offer	

(d)

(4 marks) (Total 18 marks)

Answer No. 04

(a) <u>Pay off table (Rs Mn)</u>

Strategy	Outcomes					
	0-1	O-2	O-3	O-4		
S-1	60	60	60	60		
S-2	68	64	60	32		
S-3	64	62	60	46		

(i) Maxi - Min Rule

Strategy	Min pay off			
S-1	60			
S-2	32			
S-3	46			
Strategy with highes	Strategy with highest of the minimum pay offs is S-1			

(ii) Maxi - Max Rule

Strategy	Max pay off				
S-1	60				
S-2	68				
S-3	64				
Strategy with higher	Strategy with highest of the maximum pay offs is S-2				

(iii) Min - Max Regret Rule

The regret table will be as follows (Rs Mn)

Strategy		Οι	Maximum			
	0-1	0-2	O-3	O-4	Regret	
S-1	8	4	0	0	8	
S-2	0	0	0	28	28	
S-3	4	2	0	14	14	
Strategy which minimises maximum regret is S-1						

(8 marks)

(b) Maxi- Min and Min - Max Regret Rules are risk averse criteria whereas Maxi- Max rule is an optimistic high risk criterion

(2 marks)

- Outcomes / Probabilities Expected Pay Strategy off 0-3 **O-1 O-2 O-4** 0.2925 0.1575 0.3575 0.1925 60 60 60.00 S-1 60 60 **S-2** 57.58 64 32 68 60 S-3 46 58.79 64 62 60
- (c) The expected pay offs are as follows

S-1 has the highest expected pay off which is Rs 60 Mn. Therefore S-1 is recommended.

(5 marks)

(d) If perfect information is available the expected pay offs will be as follows

L			
			Rs Mn
	Max Pay	Probability	Exp Pay off
	off	,	
O-1	68	0.2925	19.89
O-2	64	0.1575	10.08
O-3	60	0.3575	21.45
O-4	60	0.1925	<u>11.55</u>
			<u>62.97</u>

Value of Perfect Information = 62.97 - 60 = Rs. 2.97 Mn

(3 marks)

(a)					
				Random Cause = 80%	
				(Rs. 0)	
		Investigate			
		(Rs. 225,000)	\bigcirc		Eliminated = 95%
				Nonrandom cause = 20%	(Rs. 0)
				Rectification	
				(Rs. 1,000,000)	
	D1				Not eliminated $= 5\%$
				Random Cause = 80%	(Rs. 6 million)
				(Rs. 0)	
		Do not investigate			
		(Rs. 0)			
				Nonrandom cause = 20%	
				(Rs. 6 million)	

Evaluation based on the expected cost	Rs.
If investigated (Rs.) = $225,000 + [(1,000,000 + 6,000,000 * 5\%)*20\%] =$	485,000
If not investigated (Rs.) = 6,000,000 * 20% =	1,200,000

If investigated the loss would be lower than not investigating. Therefore it is advisable to investigate all variances and to correct variances stemmed from nonrandom causes.

(12 marks)

(b) <u>Probability of indifference</u>

Assuming the probability of the cause to be nonrandom occurrence is X

225,000 + (1,000,000 + 6,000,000 * 5%) X = 6,000,000X

X = 4.79%

If the cause for variance being random variable at the probability of more than 95.21% (1 - 4.79%), it is not worth investigating future variances.

(3 marks)

(c) <u>Measurement errors</u>

For example labour hours for a particular operation may be incorrectly added up. Incorrect classification of indirect labour cost is classified as direct labor costs.

Out-of-date standard

Due to the changes in the technology or fail to take into account the learning curve effect will leads to standards being out of date.

Out-of-control operation

Variance can be due to inefficient operations for example failure to follow the given procedures, faulty machinery, or human errors.

Answer No. 06

(3 marks)

(a)

(1) Environmental prevention costs

These are the costs of activities undertaken to prevent the production of waste that could cause damage to the environment

- e.g. * costs associated with the design and operation of processes to reduce contaminants * training employees
 - * recycling products

(2) Environmental appraisal costs

These are the costs incurred to ensure that a firm's activities, products and processes conform to regulatory laws and standards

- e.g. * inspection of products and processes to ensure compliance
 - * auditing environmental activities
 - * Performing contamination tests

(3) Environmental internal failure costs

These are the costs incurred from performing activities that have been produced but not discharged in to the environment. such costs are incurred to eliminate or reduce waste to levels that comply with regulatory requirements

e.g. *costs of disposing of toxic materials * costs of recycling scrap

(4) Environmental external failure costs

These are the costs incurred on activities performed after discharging waste into the environment.

- e.g. * costs of cleaning up contaminated soil
 - * restoring land to its natural state
 - * cleaning up oil spills and waste discharges

(8 marks)

(b) <u>Life Cycle Costing</u>

Traditional management accounting procedures primary focus on the manufacturing stage of product life cycle. As a result, certain relevant cost elements such as,

Pre manufacturing stage expenses e.g. research and development expenditure.

Post manufacturing expenses such as abandonment and disposal cost were omitted in the pricing process.

To avoid this risk, life cycle costing was introduced under this all relevant costs from product design stage to disposal stage are considered in product costing.

(2 marks)

(c) (i)

Cost driver rates Product design - 6Mn / 4000 hr = 1500 per design hourPurchasing - 600k / 2000 orders = 300 per orderProduction (excl depn) - 3.6Mn / 6000 hr = 600 per machine hourPacking - 1.2Mn / 10000 cm = 120 per cubic meterDistribution - 1.8Mn / 60000 kg = 30 per kg

Overhead cost per unit					Rs	
Product design (200 x	1500 / 5000))	(1)	60		
Depreciation (25000 x	(8 / 5000)	(1)	40			
Purchasing [(250/50) :	x 300		6	X ,		
/250]						
Production (0.375 x 6	00)		('1/2)	225		
Packing (0.4 x 120)	1		48			
Distribution (3 x 30)		('1/2)	<u>90</u>			
Total Cost			<u>469</u>	('1/2)	1	

(6 marks)

(ii)

Selling Price Profit Margin Rs. 550 25%

Target cost = Rs. 550 x $\frac{100}{125}$ = Rs. 440

(2 marks) (Total 18 marks)



THE INSTITUTE OF CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS OF SRI LANKA

Notice of Disclaimer

The answers given are entirely by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Sri Lanka (CA Sri Lanka) and you accept the answers on an "as is" basis.

They are not intended as "Model answers', but rather as suggested solutions.

The answers have two fundamental purposes, namely:

- 1. to provide a detailed example of a suggested solution to an examination question; and
- 2. to assist students with their research into the subject and to further their understanding and appreciation of the subject.

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Sri Lanka (CA Sri Lanka) makes no warranties with respect to the suggested solutions and as such there should be no reason for you to bring any grievance against the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Sri Lanka (CA Sri Lanka). However, if you do bring any action, claim, suit, threat or demand against the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Sri Lanka (CA Sri Lanka), and you do not substantially prevail, you shall pay the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Sri Lanka's (CA Sri Lanka's) entire legal fees and costs attached to such action. In the same token, if the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Sri Lanka) is forced to take legal action to enforce this right or any of its rights described herein or under the laws of Sri Lanka, you will pay the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Sri Lanka, legal fees and costs.

© 2013 by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Sri Lanka(CA Sri Lanka).

All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Sri Lanka (CA Sri Lanka).